

Baker & McKenzie LLP

815 Connecticut Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20006-4078 United States

Tel: +1 202 452 7000 Fax: +1 202 452 7074 www.bakermckenzie.com

Asia Pacific

Bangkok
Beijing
Brisbane
Hanoi
Ho Chi Minh City
Hong Kong
Jakarta
Kuala Lumpur*
Manila*
Melbourne
Seoul
Shanghai
Singapore
Sydney
Taipei
Tokyo
Yangon

Europe, Middle East & Africa

Abu Dhabi Almaty Amsterdam Antwerp Bahrain Barcelona Berlin Brussels Budapest Cairo Casablanca Dubai Dusseldorf Frankfurt/Main Geneva Istanbu Jeddah' Johannesburg London Luxembourg Madrid Milan Moscow Munich Prague Riyadh* Rome St. Petersburg Vienna Warsaw

The Americas

Zurich

Bogota Brasilia** **Buenos Aires** Caracas Chicago Dallas Guadalajara Houston Juarez Lima Los Angeles Mexico City Miami New York Palo Alto Porto Alegre** Rio de Janeiro* San Francisco Santiago Sao Paulo** Tijuana Toronto Washington, DC

* Associated Firm ** In cooperation with Trench, Rossi e Watanabe Advogados June 11, 2020

The Honorable Paul G. Gardephe United States District Judge United States Courthouse 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

MEMO ENDORSED

The Application is granted.

SO ORDERED:

Out L. London Le

Paul G. Gardephe, U.S.D.J.

Dated: January 21, 2021

Village of Scarsdale v. Internal Revenue Service et al., No. 19 Civ. 6654 (PGG) State of New Jersey et al. v. Mnuchin et al., No. 19 Civ. 6642 (PGG)

Dear Judge Gardephe,

Baker & McKenzie LLP represents the Village of Scarsdale in *Village of Scarsdale v. Internal Revenue Service et al.*, No. 19 Civ. 6642. I am writing on behalf of all plaintiffs in the two above-captioned matters to request a thirteen-day extension of time to file replies in support of their cross-motions for summary judgment.

On May 28, 2020, the Court granted the defendants' third request for an extension of time to file its combined reply and opposition brief relating to their motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, for summary judgment (the "Court's Order"). The defendants' combined reply and opposition brief is now due by June 10, 2020, while the plaintiff's reply due date, unchanged by the Court Order, remains June 18, 2020.

The plaintiffs respectfully request a corresponding amendment to the briefing schedule in light of the Court's Order. The briefing schedule preceding the Court's Order allowed plaintiffs three weeks to file their replies. Accordingly, the plaintiffs respectfully request a commensurate period in which to file their replies according to the new briefing timeline. If the Court grants this motion, the plaintiff's reply would be due by July 1, 2020, which is three weeks after the defendants' extended deadline. This extension would realign the previously agreed upon filing schedule with the defendants' extended deadline.

We have consulted counsel for the defendants in this case, and they do not object to this request.

We thank the Court for its consideration of this matter.

Respectfully,

Mireille R. Oldak

Partner

+1 202 835 6176 mireille.oldak@bakermckenzie.com

Counsel for defendants (by ECF) Cc: